ĪŚĀVĀSYOPANISAD

Including accented and unaccented original verses, construed text (anvaya) with a literal word by word translation,

English rendering of each stanza, copious notes,

Introduction and Appendix

by SWAMI SHARVANANDA



SRI RAMAKRISHNA MATH MYLAPORE, MADRAS INDIA

1943

Published by
The President,
SRI RAMAKRISHNA MATH,
MYLAPORE, MADRAS

Fifth Edition-2200 copies 25-8-1943

(All rights reserved)

PREFACE

ORIGINALLY this series of Upanisads appeared on the pages of the Vedanta Kesari, the organ of the Ramakrishna Math, Madras, and subsequently they were reprinted with some additions and alterations. It has been acknowledged by all scholars that the Upanisads constitute the supreme achievement of Hindu thought. The sublimity of their soaring meditation, the infinite range of their outlook of life, their fearless exposition of Soul-consciousness, their determined assertion of the verities of Existence and lastly their broad synthesis of knowledge and experience, have all joined to evoke towards them in the Hindu heart a feeling of profound awe and respect, and made it love them as Divine Revelations, from the immemorial past. Moreover, to an aspirant of spiritual truth, the Upanisads shed a light unseen in any other scripture or literature of the world. It has, therefore, been felt as the supreme need of the time to popularise the Upanisadic lore. With this object in view, we have been publishing the original texts of the principal Upanisads with suitable annotations in the Vedanta Kesari. Some of these have been brought out in book form, and others also will follow.

The Upanisads as the name imply, embody esoteric spiritual knowledge meant for reflection and contemplation, and hence the deeper a man dives into the significance of the passages of these scriptures in meditation, the greater the hidden meanings he finds in them. And so the sole object of the present author in annotating the Upanisads is more to help such persons as are desirous of plunging into their spiritual contents than to satisfy the superficial reader or the mere scholar.

SHARVANANDA

INTRODUCTION

What are the Vedas, of which the Upaniṣads constitute the end and aim, the very crest-jewel? What is its source? What are its features? The great Sāyaṇācārya, to whose elucidation the present understanding of their meaning is mainly due, defines Veda as that literature which sheds light on the transcendental means of achieving what is wished for and avoiding what is disliked. The role of the Revealed Scripture lies beyond the spheres of perception and inference, for it illumines us about "all the divinities, moral and spiritual duties of man and Absolute Truth'. Since man is imperfect, human deliverances, however exalted they may be, can hardly be accepted as final and infallible. Therefore on questions regarding transcendental facts a self-manifest, inherently valid, eternally existing, divinely inspired Veda alone can

1 इष्ट्रप्राप्यनिष्टपरिहारयोः अलौकिकम् उपायम् यो ग्रन्थो वेदयति स वेदः। जलौकिकपदेन प्रत्यक्षानुमाने व्यावर्त्यते॥ Sāyaṇa's Taittiriya-samhitā. bhāsya, p. 2.

अळोकिकपुरुषार्थोपायम् वेखनेनेति वेदशब्दनिर्वचनम् ॥ प्रस्रक्षेणानुमिसा वा यस्तूपायो न बुध्यते । एनं विदन्ति वेदेन तस्माद् वेदस्य वेदता ॥

Sāyaņa's Rg-Bhāsya, Introduction.

² सकळदेवतानाम् धर्मस्य परब्रह्मतत्वस्य च प्रतिपादकम् वेदम् ॥ Ib.

be considered inerrant and conclusively authoritative. The continuous unfoldment of the predominantly religious and spiritual culture of India for millenniums, governing the thought and conduct of the race, working through the moral and religious impulses of the entire people and drawing them ever to the realization of the highest values of life, attests to the greatness and penetrative influence of the Vedas.

The Vedas are transmitted from the beginningless antiquity through a continuous chain of teachers and pupils, who, relying upon the statements in the Vedas themselves, considered them to be eternal and apauruseya. i.e. having no human source. Of those who hold fast to this theory of eternality, some substantiate their position by arguing that since the Vedas are the only source of our knowledge of Dharma and Brahman which are eternal. and since the relation between word and its meaning is also eternal, the Vedas are eternal in language and content. There are others who view the unworldly and spiritual principles enunciated in them to be eternal and timeless; they do not subscribe to the other part of the argument, viz. the eternality of the language of the Veda, which, they would assert, is but the composition '(vākya) of rsis or āptapurusas—reliable and selfless personages who have realized those truths for themselves.

According to the famous Purusa-sūkta, Rg, Yajus and Sāman were generated at the beginning of creation from a mental sacrifice of adoration performed by the divine Prajāpatis and sages with the Supreme Being as the

¹ ब्रह्मणो निर्देशित्वेन वेदस्य कर्तृदोषासम्भवात् खतः सिद्धम् प्रामाण्यम्; तद्वस्थम् —Sāyaṇa's Aitareya-brāhmana-bhāsya, p. 2.

material of the offering. The Śvetāśvataropanisad¹ states that the Supreme Being creates Brahmā and communicates to him the Vedas. The Brhadāranvaka IV. 5. 11 has: "As from a fire kindled...smoke issue, even so, the Rgveda etc....are all the breath of this infinite Reality." The Brahma-sūtras I. 1. 3 declares that Brahman is the source of the Vedas; and I. 3. 29 of the same book affirms them to be eternal. The Mīmāmsā-sūtras I. 1. 18 establishes the eternality of the Word. The first stanza of Śrimadbhāgavata, voicing the view of the Purānas in general. states that God, the Supreme Truth, caused the Vedas to appear in the mind of Brahmā, the first 'poet'.' Smrtis generally state that the eternally existing Vedas were remembered by the Creator at the beginning of the cycle of creation and transmitted to the world through the succession of teachers and learners. Śrī Śańkara in his Introduction to the Gītā-bhāsya, speaks of the imparting of the Religion of Work and the Religion of Renunciation, constituting the contents of the Vedas, to Prajāpatis like Marīci and sages like Sanaka, by Lord Nārāvana, immediately after the creation of the universe, and also of the subsequent dissemination of the Vedic lore through that channel. These various accounts given in the authoritative books of the past speak for the inspirational quality of the Vedas and the great veneration with which they were looked upon from the dawn of Hindu civilization.

Even though the orthodox teachers of India do not assent to the dialectic differences and chronological strata recognised by Western scholars in the body of the Vedas, they have their own logical appellations, divisions

and classifications of the various parts of the Vedic literature. The Veda is generally spoken of as a vidvā and śāstra. Vidyā (from the root 'vid' meaning to know, reflect, possess, be) denotes wisdom that is gained by intuition or instruction. When taken as a vidya, the Veda has two phases, a higher one and a lower one, parāand aparā- vidyā, the one connoting divine wisdom and - the other knowledge of everything else that tend to it. Śāstra is from the root 'śās', meaning to wish, command or teach; and hence Veda as a śāstra is either aiñātaiñāpaka or aprvrtta-pravartaka, that is, revelational or mandatory. The Vedas are also known commonly as nigama, śruti and āmnāya. Nigama implies a settled text handed down from beginningless antiquity. Sruti denotes a revealed text heard by the pupil from the teacher, and āmnāva is what is learned by repetition and reflection. Almost synonymous with apara- and para- vidya is the division into karma-kānda, and jñāna-kānda, work section and knowledge section, the former dealing with religious rites and duties and the latter with God, Soul and Nature. It is generally held that this twofold division coincides with the external division into non-Upanisadic and Upanisadic Vedic literature. Such a view cannot be taken as wellmarked and precise, since there is ritualistic material interspersed in certain portions of some of the Upanisads and esoteric and philosophic ideas in the Samhitās. Muktikopanisad recognizes that 'like oil in the sesamum seed Vedanta is established essentially in every part of the Veda.'1 We are therefore to take these names and divisions more as dominated by the spirit and purpose

¹ तिलेषु तैलवत् वेदे वेदान्तः सुप्रतिष्ठि तः I, 9.

that govern them rather than as mere formal or structural matter.

This leads us to the customary classification based upon the form, purport and style of the Vedic literature. These are the well-known divisions into Rg. Yajus, Sāman and Atharya: Mantra and Brāhmana or Samhitā, Brāhmana, Āranyaka and Upanisad; and carana, śākhā or bheda. Of the first division, the words Rg, Yajus and Sāman have a double denotation; primarily, Rg means a laudatory verse. Yajus a liturgical passage or formula (mostly prose and sometimes metrical) and Sāman a melody. These three species of compositions in their collective form were also called in a secondary sense Rg- Yajus- and Sāma-samhitās. Finally by extension the Brāhmanas annexed to these Rg-, Yajus-, Sāma- and Atharva-sanhitās, the Āranvakas supplementary to them and the Upanisads, either embedded in, terminated by, or affiliated to, each of them, were also included by the name of the respective Veda to which they belonged.

The self-manifest Veda, which, according to the purāṇic account, was a unified plenary revelation made to Brahmā in the beginning, suffered much obscurity in subsequent ages, due to the confusion and want of care on the part of the learners. At the commencement of the dvāparayuga, therefore, the great sage Kṛṣṇa-dvaipāyana (Vyāsa) resuscitated it by clarifying and facilitating its study by his methodical arrangement into the four divisions Rg, Yajus, Sāman and Atharva and by making his four disciples Paila, Vaiśampāyana, Jaimini and Sumantu the recipients and transmitters of the four Vedas in the respective order. Disciples of these lenial heads, in course of time, multiplied and formed into groups separating

from each other and giving rise to various śākhās or branches. Each of these śākhās had its own reduction or collection of the given Veda preserved as its heirloom, differing not a little from the other. These were named according to the śākhā (school) to which it belonged, as Kaṭha, Kauthuma, Vājasaneya, Mādhyandina and the like. The Muktikopaniṣad (verses 12 & 13) records as having existed twenty-one such śākhās for the Rg-veda, ninety-four for Black Yajur-veda, fifteen for White Yajur-veda, one thousand for Sāma-veda and fifty for Atharva-veda; about most of these we know nothing at present except this bare mention.

The next division, partly based on style and partly on purport, is the one into Mantra and Brāhmana.1 The Kalpa-sūtra defines Veda as constituting of Mantra and Brāhmana. This division is internal to the above-said fourfold division; for, each Veda has its Mantra and Brāhmaṇa portions, the former comprising all chants and invocations (i.e. Mantras) and the latter everything else with the exception of the Mantras. The first three Samhitās-by which we are to understand the three canonical texts designated as Rg, Yajus and Sāma collections embodying the invocatory stanzas and the ritualistic formulas sung or uttered, arranged in hymns, books and other literary divisions-had even a functional basis. The popular viniyoga or use of the Veda was for the meticulous performance of painstaking and complicated sacrificial ceremonials which were believed, to bring

मन्त्रश्च ब्राह्मणं चेति द्वौ भागौ—तेन मन्त्रतः । अन्यत् ब्राह्मणम्, इत्येतद् भवेत् ब्राह्मणस्थाम् ॥ about directly or indirectly abhyudaya and nihśreyasa, i.e. temporal prosperity and the highest good of life; and so all the parts of the Veda had to be interpreted in such a way as they might find place in this scheme either as invocatory, eulogistic or directive in their application. The four Vedas thus came to be associated with the four priests who were indispensable to the performance of a sacrifice, yajña. They are the hotr or reciter of the solemn hymns, adhvaryu or the performer of the material part of the sacrifice with the help of liturgical formulas, udgātr the chanter of the Saman songs and brahman, who, although by name associated with the Atharva-veda, was the superintendent of the entire sacrifice-"the very embodiment of the sacrificial art and Vedic lore in general so as to be able to advise the other priests and rectify any mistake committed during the performance."

Among the Samhitās or collections, Rg-veda is by far the most important; for a considerable portion of the other Vedas are repeated or adapted from it. According to the current S'ākala school, it has 1028 metrical hymns sung in praise of various devatās or aspects of the Divine. These hymns of varying length, the longest having 52 stanzas, are arranged into ten Mandalas or Books of which the tenth is specially interesting for the philosophical and esoteric material contained therein, although such information is not entirely absent in other parts too. To the Rg-veda belong Aitareya, Kausītakī, Paingī and Śānkhyāyana Brāhmanas. Aitareya and Kauşītakī Āranyakas supplement the Brāhmanas of the same names. The Aitareya and Kausītakī Upanisads are taken in as some of the concluding sections of the Aranyakas bearing those names.

The Taittirīva-samhitā of the Yajur-veda, also called Krsna-vaiur-veda, is the book of the performing priest . or adhvaryu, and is for the most part in prose. Mainly sacrificial in purpose, it is a mixture of ritualistic formulas and explanations. There is another important book of the Yajur-veda called the Sukla-yajur-veda in forty-chapters, with its contents systematically arranged in a liturgical order. The Krsna-vajur-veda has the Taittirīya-, Bhāllava-, S'ātvāyana-, Maitrāyana-Katha-brāhmanas, of which the first is the most wellknown, its contents being of such a nature as could well be considered supplementary to its cognate Samhitā. The Taittīriyā'ranyaka is its appendage, in which is embedded the important Taittirīva-upanisad. There is also a Maitrāyanī-upanisad. The Śukla-yajur-veda, now chiefly preserved in the Mādhvandina and Kānva schools. has the famous Satapatha-brāhmana terminating in the monumental Brhadāranyaka, which is an Āranyaka and an Upanisad of great importance.

The Sāma-veda-samhitā is taken directly (excepting the seventy-five original verses) from the eighth and ninth Mandalas of the Rg-veda and set to the mode of Sāman chants designed to be sung by the udgātṛ priest. Eight short Brāhmanas (Sāmavidhāna, Mantra, Ārṣeya, Vamśa Daivatādhyāya, Talavakāra, Tāṇdya and Samhitopaniṣad) are known to belong to it, explaining chiefly the duties of the priest of that Veda and other allied materials. No Āranyaka is known now to belong to it; but the famous Chāndogyopaniṣad and Kenopaniṣad are very important supplements of the Sāma-veda. Like the other three Vedas, Atharva-veda has no important part to play in the yajña, and the brahman priest,

as has been mentioned above. According to the current Saunaka-sākhā Atharva-veda-samhitā has 730 hymns of 6000 stanzas distributed over 20 chapters; of this total number, 1200 stanzas are repetitions from the Rk-samhitā, and a sixth of the whole work is in prose. It chiefly deals with occult matters, but there are to be found beautiful philosophical verses also in the book. This Veda also has not got any Āraṇyaka—at least known to us at present. Three very well-known Upaniṣads, Munda, Māṇdūkya and Praśna are considered as belonging to it. However, there is one Gopatha-brāhmaṇa related with it, the importance of which is not very high in comparison with that of others.

The Brāhmaṇas aim at the interpretation of the texts supplied by the Sanhitās; they are, therefore, exegetical and commentative. They describe the minute details of sacrificial ceremonies explaining their origin and hidden meaning and illustrating their value and potency by citing itihāsas or past stories. Sāyaṇa quotes a definition of Brāhmaṇa,¹ which is not very conclusive as he himself admits. It is therefore safely asserted, "All that is not Mantra is Brāhmaṇa." Many of the Brāhmaṇas known at present (about 16) generally treat about more or less

¹ हेतुर्निवेचनम् निन्दा प्रशंसा संशयो विधिः।

परिक्रया पुराकल्पो व्यवधारणकल्पना ॥ Sāyaṇa's Introduction to-Rg-veda.

ब्राह्मणानि कल्पान् गाथा नाराशंसीरितिहासपुराणानीति । Asvalayanagrhya-sūtra, III, 3. This is taken to be the definition of Brāhmaṇa. a common stock of material with variations in treatment and elaboration.

The Āranyakas occupy an intermediate position between the Brāhmanas and the Upanisads in form and spirit. They too are ritualistic in content like the Brāhmanas, but the material part is suppressed. In these Forest Books, 'the symbolic and spiritual aspects of the sacrificial cults are meditated upon, and the meditation takes place of the performance of the sacrifice' The Āranyakas are to be recited by those who have taken the vow (vrata) of the recluse and therefore (due perhaps to their superior sanctity also) they are designed to be the books of the vānaprasthas living in the solitude of forests. In general they share the subject-matter and treatment of the Upanisads also. From the point of subject-matter a clear demarcation line cannot be drawn between the two.

The Upanisads are the sum and substance of all true wisdom. Of the ten most authoritative Upanisads now authentically known to have explained by Śrī Śańkarā-cārya five are parts of some well-known Samhitā or Āraṇyaka. According to tradition,² Upanisads are 1180, one for each śākhā of the Vedas; but only about 200 are brought to light³ till now, many of which clearly bear the stamp of modernity. Of those, 108 are deemed worthy of careful study. They are all commented on by one Brahmayogin. Each one of them, the Muktikopanisad associates with one Veda or other, though only five, as

¹ Radhakrishnan, Indian Philosophy, Vol. I, p. 65.

² Muktikopanisad, verse 14.

³ By The Theosophical Publishing House, Adyar.

we have noted, are actually seen as part of the Veda in its existing form. But there is evidence to believe that some more might have been part of some Samhitā or Brāhmaṇa in the past and from which they were separated and become detached subsequently.

THE ĪŚĀVĀSYOPANIṢAD. This particular Upaniṣad derives its name from the opening words of its first Mantra. In all collections and enumerations of the Upaniṣads it occupies the first place, owing partly perhaps to the great spiritual significance of its contents and partly to the fact that it is the only Upaniṣad that is found as an integral part of a Samhita¹, which fact gives it the other name Samhitopaniṣad. It is the last chapter of the Śukla-yajur-veda-samhitā. The Upaniṣads that are in verses (the most important ones, without doubt) are called Mantropaniṣads, Īśāvāsya is the Mantropaniṣad² par excellence.

According to Śankarācārya's analysis (whose commentary we have mainly followed in our explanations), this Upaniṣad lays down two paths for spiritual aspirants—one for the jñānins or those who are the exclusive adherents of the path of knowledge, and the other for

1 There are however some differences by way of variant readings and others in the text as it appears in the Vājasaneyīsamhitā and in the Upaniṣad as commented on by Śaṅkarācārya. Mantras 9, 10, and 11 of the Saṁhitā text appears as Mantras 12, 13 and 14 of the Upaniṣad and vice versa. The second half of the fifteenth Mantra and all the lines of the sixteenth Mantra except the last one, are not in the Saṃhitā text. The last five verses, just as in the Upaniṣad, are found in the Bṛhadāraṇya-kopaniṣad 5-15-1.

² Śrīmadbhāgavata, VIII. I. 9 & 17.

those who have not attained the necessary internal development needed to renounce all desires and adopt that exalted way. A jñānin of that type is identical with a sannyāsin. He is absolved from the performance of all sacrificial rites. Repeated study of the Upanisadie texts and reflection and contemplation of the real nature of the Atman are the only activities that engage his attention. And so the first verse and the verses from the fourth to the eighth (both included), which describe the nature of the Atman, are meant for him. The rest of the Upanisad has in view all other persons who are bound to the world by the desire to enjoy it. These men who are attracted by the things of the world, worship God as a Person with the aim of securing through His grace worldly happiness and spiritual bliss in the form of final emancipation from the round of births and deaths. They, as it is laid down in the second verse, should perform sacrificial rites and duties and at the same time acquire knowledge (vidyā) about the various divinities and the supreme Divinity. By pursuing this discipline they will attain the highest heaven of Brahmā after death, as it is spoken of in the last verse of this Upanisad.

Owing to the terseness and the obscurity of many of the concepts found in this Upanisad many of its stanzas are liable to be interpreted differently, if one does not accept the fundamental premise of Śańkarācārya regarding the two paths—that of knowledge and that of work—and their mutual opposition. In the appendix at the end of the book, we have given another interpretation of the Upanisad, synthesising work and knowledge in the light of the types of spiritual perfection we come across, the passive type and the active type.

NOTE ON TRANSLITERATION

In the book devanagari characters are transliterated according to the scheme adopted by the International Congress of Orientalists at Athens in 1912 and since then gradually acknowledged to be the only rational and satisfactory one. In it the inconsistency, irregularity and redundancy of English spelling are ruled out: f. q, w, x and z are not called to use; one fixed value is given to each letter. Hence a, e, i and g always represent अ, ए, इ ग् respectively and never ψ , इ, ψ and ज् or other values which they have in English; t and d are always used for त् and द् only. One tialde, one accent, four macrons and ten dots (2 above, 8 below) are used to represent adequately and correctly all Sanskrit letters. The letter C alone represents 3 Since the natural function of h will be to make the aghosa ghosa (e.g. kh, ch, th, th, ph, gh, jh, dh, dh, bh) it would be an anomaly for a scientific scheme to use it in combinations like ch and sh for giving च and ष् values; hence ch here is छ and sh स ह The vowel 3 is represented by r because ri, legitimate for R only, is out of place and the singular ri is an altogether objectionable distortion. The tialde over n represents \overline{q} , \overline{n} . Accent mark over s gives ज्, s; dots above m and n gives anusvāra (÷) m and &, n, respectively. Dots below h and r give visarga (:), h. and I, r, respectively. Dots below s, n, t and d give their corresponding cerebrals पु, ज्, ट् and ं हु इ, ņ, t, and d; and macrons over a, i, u and r give a, ī, ū, r respectively. Macrons are not used to lengthen the quantity of e and o. because they always have the long quantity in Sanskrit. Sanskrit words are capitalized only where special distinctiveness is called for, as in the opening of a sentence, title of books, etc. The scheme of transliteration in full:

अ a, आ \overline{a} , इ i, ई i, उ u, ऊ \overline{u} , ऋ r, ऋ r, ए e, ओ o, ऐ ai, ओ ou, $\dot{-}$ mi, : h, क k, ख kh, ग g, घ gh, ङ् ni, च e, छ ch, ज् j, \overline{a} jh, \overline{a} ñ, \overline{c} t, \overline{c} th, \overline{c} d, \overline{c} dh, \overline{c} ni, \overline{c} t, \overline{c} th, \overline{c} d, \overline{c} dh, \overline{c} dh, \overline{c} ni, \overline{c} th, \overline{c} d, \overline{c} dh, \overline{c} ni, \overline{c} ni, \overline{c} h, \overline{c} h, \overline{c} h, \overline{c} l, \overline{c} v, \overline{c} s, \overline{c} h.

॥ ॐ तत् सत्॥

PEACE INVOCATION

ॐ पूर्णमदः पूर्णामदं पूर्णात् पूर्णमुदच्यते । पूर्णस्य पूर्णमादाय पूर्णमेवावशिष्यते ॥

ॐ शान्तिः । शान्तिः । शान्तिः ॥

ॐ Om पूर्णम् whole अदः that पूर्णम् whole इदम् this पूर्णात् from the whole पूर्णम् the whole (universe) उदस्यते has come out. पूर्णस्य of the whole पूर्णम् the whole (universe) आदाय having taken पूर्णम् the whole एव alone अवशिष्यते remains. ॐ Om शान्तिः Peace.

The invisible is the Whole, the visible too is the Whole. From the Whole, the visible universe of infinite extension has come out. The Whole remains the same, even though the infinite universe has come out of It.

Om Peace: Peace: Peace.

ĪŚĀVĀSYOPANISAD

ईशा वास्यमिद् सर्वे यत्किञ्च जगत्यां जगत्। तेन त्यक्तेन मुङ्जीथा ना गृघः कस्य खिद् धनम् ॥ १॥

जगलाम् In the world यत किम् च whatsoever जगत् changeful (अस्त is), इदम् सर्वम् all this ईशा with the Lord वास्यम् should be enveloped. तेन सक्तेन by that renunciation भुजीयाः support (yourself); कस्य खित् of any one धनम् wealth मा do not प्रा: covet.

Whatever¹ there is changeful in this ephemeral world,—all that must be enveloped by the Lord. By this renunciation² (of the world), support³ yourself. Do⁴ not covet the wealth of anyone⁵.

[Notes—This passage refers to the duty of the Sannyāsin who is competent to devote himself exclusively to the contemplation of the Ātman.

1. Whatever there is etc.—Just as the bad odour of a piece of sandal-wood, produced by continuous contact with water, is covered by its natural sweet smell on its being rubbed on a stone, so also the changeful and imperfect

world of duality is to be obliterated by its being enveloped, through contemplation, by the Divinity that is inherent in it as well as in the self of the contemplator. The expression 'changeful' applied to the world suggests by contrast that the Lord is the one changeless substratum in the flux of Nature. The word 'envelopes' signifies that the Lord is both immanent and transcendent.

- 2. Renunciation—Contemplation of this kind presupposes the renunciation of worldly life and desires pertaining to it.
- 3. Support yourself—Renunciation helps one to realise the Ātman and attain the bliss born of it. Hence the Sannyāsin is asked to support himself by renunciation, in contrast to worldly men who support themselves by the satisfaction of desires.
- 4. Do not covet etc.—The Sannyāsin is to seek bliss in renunciation, not in wealth which is the source of all worldly satisfactions.
- 5. Anyone—Implies what may belong to oneself as well as to others.]

कुर्वन्नेवेह कर्माणि जिजीविषेच्छतः समाः । एवं त्विय नान्यथेतोऽस्ति न कर्म लिप्यते नरे ॥ २ ॥

इह In this world कमाणि (scripture-ordained) works (such as agnihotra etc.) दुर्वन् performing एव alone शतम् a hundred समा: years जिजीविषेत् should desire to live. एवम् thus त्विय you नरे man (wishing to live a hundred years) इत: than this (performance of religious rites) न not अन्यथा other alternative अस्ति is (येन by which) कमें works न not लिप्यते stains.

Only performing scripture-ordained¹ works, should one desire to live a hundred² years. Thus, and in no other way, can you be free from the taint³ of evil deeds, as long as you are fond⁴ of your human life.

[Notes—In contrast to the previous passage, this one, according to Śańkara, refers to those who are incapable of complete renunciation and absorption in the Ātman, and have, as a consequence, to be devoted to righteous works by which they can attain purity of mind, and thereby knowledge ultimately.

- 1. Scripture-ordained works—Strictly speaking they are the necessary and occasional rites like agnihotra (maintenance of sacred fire) and other duties ordained by the scriptures for householders. From a different point of view we may, however, include all altruistic works too.
- 2. Hundred years—That is the full span of human life according to the Vedas. To desire to live a hundred years means to have worldly attachments. This is to be contrasted with the attitude of a true Sannyāsin who has neither like nor dislike for both life and death alike.
- 3. Taint of evil deeds—A man who is not capable of complete absorption in the Ātman, can save himself from stagnation and positively evil deeds, only if he engages himself in meritorious or altruistic works.
- 4. Fond of your human life—In contrast to the Sannyāsin who has given up all desires of life as well as clinging to life itself.

According to some, this passage refers to Karma-yoga or the path of desireless action elaborated in the Bhagavad-gītā.]

असुर्या नाम ते लोका अन्धेन तमसावृताः । ताःस्ते प्रत्याभिगच्छन्ति ये के चात्मह्नो जनाः ॥ ३ ॥

असुर्याः Belonging to the Asuras (demoniac) अन्वेन तमसा with the blinding darkness आनृताः shrouded नाम verily ते those लोकाः births (सन्ति are). ये के whoever न and जनाः persons आत्महनः slayers of Ātman (सन्ति are) ते they प्रेस after death तान् those (worlds) अभिगच्छन्ति attain.

Verily, those births are demoniac, enshrouded in blind darkness. Those who slay the Self attain to them after death.

[Notes—This refers to the second type of persons, viz., those who are qualified only for work.

- 1. Demoniac—The word in the original is asurya, meaning, 'of the Asuras or demons'. Asuras are noted for their attachment to sensual enjoyment. The births in various spheres, high and low, are conducive only to the life of the senses. Hence they are called 'demoniac' in contrast to the absolute state of emancipation.
- 2. Enshrouded in blind darkness—In contrast to the illumination that the realisation of the Ātman gives.
- 3. Those who slay the Self—Every one who is indifferent to the realisation of the Ātman, his own essential nature, may be described as committing spiritual suicide.

The purport of the passage is that those who have not realised the Self and are therefore competent only for work, will be re-born in different spheres, and this is a condition infinitely inferior to the realisation of the Self.]

> अनेजदेकं मनसो जवीयो नैनद् देवा आप्नुवन् पूर्वमर्षत् । तद् धावतोऽन्यानत्येति तिष्ठत् तस्मिन्नपो मातरिश्वा द्याति ॥ ४॥

(तत् That Ātman) एकम् one अनेजत् motionless (immutable) मनसः than mind जवीयः faster देशः the senses न आनुवन् could not reach (यसात् since) पूर्वम् अर्थत् went before the mind. तत् It तिष्ठत् being steady धावतः running (i.e., changeable) अन्यान् other objects अत्येति outstrips. तिसन् (सिते) It being present मातिरिशा the cosmic energy, i.e., prāṇa (lit. air) अपः all the activity (of the living beings) दधाति sustains.

The Self is one. Unmoving, It is faster than the mind. Having preceded the mind, It is beyond the reach of the senses. Ever steady, It outstrips all that run. By Its mere presence, it enables the cosmic energy to sustain the activities of living beings.

[Notes—The distinction between the two types of men has already been drawn. Now, what is the nature of

the Ātman by knowing which one is saved from spiritual suicide? This is discussed from here up to the eighth verse.

- 1. Unmoving etc.—The Ātman is the unchangeable and eternal Principle at the back of the changing Nature. On whatever the mind alights, it finds the light of Ātman already there, because the Ātman is all-pervading. Hence It is swifter than mind, the swiftest of all things known to us.
- 2. Having preceded etc.—The mind is subtler and infinitely faster than the senses; so if the Ātman is beyond the grasp of the mind, It is much more so beyond the powers of the senses. The Ātman is never an object of perception.
- 3. Ever steady etc.—As the Atman is all-pervading, there is nothing that can go beyond It.
- 4. By its mere presence etc.—Being the Conscious Principle behind life, the Ātman is spoken of as the sustainer of all the activities of living beings. It should be noted here that It is spoken of only as awakening the cosmic energy into activity by Its mere presence. For, the Ātman really does no action; it is the cosmic energy that translates itself into all activities of life, mind itself being a manifestation of it. The cosmic energy is also known as sūtrātman and prāṇa.]

तदेजित तन्नेजित तद् दूरे तद्वन्तिके। तदन्तरस्य सर्वस्य तद् उ सर्वस्यास्य बाह्यतः॥ ५॥

तत् That एजित moves, तत् That न एजित moves not; तत् That दूरे far, तत् That उ अन्तिके near even; तत् That

अस्य सर्वस्य of all this अन्तर् within, तत् That उ again अस्य सर्वस्य of all this बाह्यत: outside.

It¹ moves, and It moves not. It² is far, and It is near. It³ is within all this, and It is also outside all this.

- [Notes—1. It moves etc.—In Its real absolute state It moves not, i.e., is immutable; but in Its conditioned aspect It appears to be ever-changing, ever in motion.
- 2. It is far etc.—i.e., It is omnipresent. Or it can be explained thus: For the ignorant, It is far, i.e., very difficult to attain, but to the wise, It is very near, because they know It as their very Self.
- 3. It is within etc.—It is immanent and also transcendent, i.e., beyond creation, beyond limitation. It has two aspects, the conditioned and the unconditioned. Hence the opposite epithets are given to It.]

यस्तु सर्वाणि भूतान्यात्मन्येवानुपश्यति । सर्वभृतेषु चात्मानं ततो न विजुगुप्सते ॥ ६॥

यः (The wise one) who g and सर्वाण all भूतानि objects सात्मनि in Ātman एव itself अनुपर्यति sees, च and सर्वभूतेषु in every being आत्मानं the Ātman, ततः from that न not विज्ञासन्ति hates (rejects).

The wise man who perceives all beings as not distinct from his own Self at all, and his

own Self as the Self of every being,—he does not, by virtue of that perception, hate² anyone.

- Notes—1. Perceives all beings etc.—The idea is that when the unconditioned state of consciousness is realised, the wise man recognises that the one Conscious Principle (Self or Ātman), which witnesses the modifications of the body-mind combination, that is specially called his own, is the same as the Conscious Principle witnessing all other entities from the highest to the lowest.
- 2. Hate anyone—Hatred is born of self-interest, which in turn has its basis in the sense of separateness. When the unity of the Self in all is realised, there is no room for hatred.]

यित्मन् सर्वाणि भूतान्यात्मैवाभूद् विजानतः । तत्र को मोहः कः शोक एकत्वमनुपश्यतः ॥ ७ ॥

यसिन् When विजानतः to the knower बात्मा Atman एव verily सर्वाणि all भूतानि things अभूत has become, तत्र then एक्टबम्oneness अनुपञ्चतः of one who beholds कः what मोहः delusion, कः what शोकः sorrow.

What delusion, what sorrow is there for the wise man who sees the unity of existence and perceives all beings as his own Self!

स पर्यगाच्छुकमकायमव्रण-मस्नाविरःशुद्धमपापविद्धम् ।

किवर् मनीषी परिभूः स्वयम्भूर् याथातथ्यतोऽर्थान् न्यद्धाच्छाश्वतीभ्यः समाभ्यः ॥ ८ ॥

सः He (the Ātman) खयम्भूः self-existent पर्यगाद (is) all-pervading अकायम् without body, अखाविरम् without muscles, अपापविद्यम् untainted by sin or ignorance; ग्रुक्तम् radiant, अत्रणम् scatheless (whole), ग्रुद्धम् pure; कविः all-seeing, मनीषी all-knowing, परिभूः encompassing all. (सः He) याथातथ्यतः in the proper way शाश्वतीभ्यः for eternal समाभ्यः Prajāpatis (or years) अर्थान् duties व्यद्धातः assigned.

He, the self-existent, is everywhere—without a body, without muscles, and without the taint of sin; radiant, whole, and pure; seeing all, knowing all, and encompassing all. He duly assigned their respective duties to the eternal Prajāpatis.

[Note—1. Duly assigned etc.—This passage has alsobeen explained as, "He has distributed all objects of the universe rightly for all eternity," or as "In the eternal procession He has assigned to every period its properduty."]

अन्वं तमः प्रविशन्ति येऽविद्यामुपासते । ततो भूय इव ते तमो य उ विद्यायाः रताः ॥ ९ ॥ ये Those who अविद्याम् avidyā उपासते worship, ते they अन्धम् blind तमः darkness प्रविशन्ति plunge, ये those who ड but विद्यायाम् in vidyā रताः delight ते they ततः than that भूयः greater तमः darkness इव as it were (प्रविशन्ति fall into).

Those who are devoted to avidyā (ignorance or pure ritual) enter into blind darkness. Into darkness greater than that, as it were, do those enter, who delight in vidyā (knowledge of ritualistic philosophy) alone.

[Notes—The description of the nature of the Ātman that is attained by the true Sannyāsins who seek knowledge alone, ends with verse 7. From here onwards, the Upanisad addresses itself to those who wish to live here continually, doing good works, ritualistic and otherwise.

- 1. Devoted to avidyā—Avidyā literally means ignorance; but here it denotes ritualistic observances, as all rituals are performed through the ignorance of the real nature of Ātman that is beyond all action.
- 2. Delight in vidyā—Vidyā means knowledge, but here it signifies the ordinary theoretical knowledge of gods and rituals.

The drift of this verse is that those persons who remain satisfied with the performance of the rituals only, and never strive to know the real significance of the works as explained in the scriptures, are rājasic and cannot attain to that sāttvic state of life which rests upon the glorious union of the ritualistic actions with the knowledge of their full significance. But still worse are those

persons who remain contented with the mere theoretical knowledge about the gods and sacrifices gathered from scriptural study, and never stir themselves up for any action. They are tāmasic, and as such, are necessarily relegated by their own inactivity to the inert state, which, of all levels of existence, is the one farthest away from the Truth.

It may be noted that in this interpretation, which follows Sankara's, the significance of the expression 'iva' or 'as it were', is not brought out. In the alternative interpretation of the Upanisad given at the end of the book, we have tried to make some meaning out of it.

Some commentators hold that vidyā means here the theoretical knowledge of Brahman from mere study.]

अन्यदेवाहुर् विद्यया अन्यदाहुरविद्यया । इति शुश्रुम धीराणां ये नस्तद् विचचक्षिरे ॥ १० ॥

विद्यया By vidyā, अन्यत् a different (फलम् result) आहु: they say, अविद्यया through avidyā अन्यत् a different एव verily; इति thus घीराणाम् from the wise शुभ्रम we have heard, ये who नः to us तब् that विचचिक्षरे explained.

One result, they say, is obtained by vidyā (knowledge of ritualistic philosophy), and quite another by avidyā (ignorance or pure rituals). Thus have we heard from the wise who explained it to us.

[Notes—1. Obtained by vidyā—The goal obtained by ritualistic knowledge is the world of the gods.

2. By avidyā—The goal of pure rituals is the world of the manes.]

विद्यां चाविद्यां च यस्तद् वेदोभयः सह । अविद्यया मृत्युं तीर्त्वा विद्ययामृतमञ्जूते ॥ ११ ॥

यः Who विद्याम् अविद्याम् च vidyā and avidyā तत् that उभयम् both सह together वेद knows, अविद्या by avidyā मृत्युम् death तीली having conquered, विद्या by vidyā अमृतम् the nature of immortals (lit. immortality) अस्तुते attains.

He who understands vidyā and avidyā, both together, attains¹ to the nature of immortals through vidyā (knowledge of ritualistic philosophy), having conquered death by avidyā (pure rituals).

[Notes—1. Attains to the nature of immortals etc.—According to Sankara the immortality here referred to is not the absolute immortality of Brahman but the relative immortal nature of the gods. Death here means, according to him, the works and knowledge of the ordinary ignorant life, which are all subject to destruction. His object in interpreting it in this way, which looks far-fetched, is to avoid the combination of works and knowledge as a direct means of attaining supreme illumination; for these, according to him, are opposed like light and darkness, in their application to Brahman.

An alternative interpretation of this may be given if we do not go all the way with Sankara in maintaining the opposition between action and Knowledge. Action. ritualistic or otherwise, when done with desire, is surely opposed to spiritual enlightenment: but why should works of a purely devotional nature, like communion and the rest, which help us to reduce the theoretical knowledge of Brahman into an actual realisation in life, be also regarded as opposed to enlightenment? If, therefore, we take vidyā and avidyā to mean theoretical knowledge of Brahman and the devotional practices necessary for Its actual realisation respectively, then the passage may be given a more direct interpretation. By avidya or devotional practices, including selfless work, we overcome 'mrtyu' or the ordinary life of birth and death, and by vidyā or Knowledge of Brahman we attain absolute immortality. What is meant is that mere conceptional knowledge of Brahman is not enough; it must be combined with the practice of spiritual disciplines. The mere theory of Brahman is not the realisation of Brahman: for without combining practice with it. the theory will not be able to counteract the mental and physical tendencies that obstruct the dawning of Knowledge.]

अन्धं तमः प्रविशन्ति येऽसम्म्तिसुपासते । ततो भ्य इव ते तमो य उ सम्म्त्याः रताः ॥ १२ ॥

ये Who असम्भूतिम् non-becoming उपासते worship ते they अन्यम् blind तमः darkness प्रविशन्ति enter; ये who उ but सम्भूत्याम् in becoming रताः delight ते they ततः than that भूयः much greater इव as it were तमः darkness (प्रविशन्ति enter).

Those who worship asambhūti¹ (non-becoming or prakṛti) enter into blind darkness. Into darkness still greater than that, as it were, do they enter who delight in sambhūti² (becoming or Hiraṇyagarbha).

[Notes—1. Asambhūti—i.e. prakrti or māyā, the non-intelligent first cause of the universe in a state of equilibrium, before the creation.

2. Sambhūti—Signifies the Ḥiraṇyagarbha, the first manifestation of Brahman. He is known by different names, viz. Brahmā, Sūtrātman, Kārya-brahman, etc. Māyā playing upon Brahman causes the first manifestation of Hiraṇyagarbha in the beginning of the cycle. He in turn creates the whole universe.]

अन्यदेवाहुः सम्भवादन्यदाहुरसम्भवात् । इति शुश्रुम धीराणां ये नस्तद् विचचक्षिरे ॥ १३ ॥

सम्भवात From (the worship of) becoming (Hiranyagarbha), अन्यत different एव verily(फलम् result) आहु: they say, असम्भवात from (the worship of) non-becoming (prakṛti) अन्यत् a different (फलम् result) आहु: they say. इति thus वीराणाम् from the wise शुभुम we have heard, ये who नः to us तद् that विचवक्षिरे explained.

One¹ result, they say, is obtained from the worship of sambhava (becoming or Hiranyagarbha), and quite another from that of asam-

bhava (non-becoming or Prakṛti). Thus have we heard it from the wise who explained it to us.

[Notes—1. One result etc. Śańkara says that the result of worshipping sambhūti or sambhava (Hiraṇyagarbha) is the attainment of eightfold Yogic powers; and of worshipping asambhūti or asambhava (prakṛti), absorption in prakṛti.]

सम्भूतिं च विनाशं च यस्तद् वेदोभयं सह । विनाशेन मृत्युं तीर्त्वा सम्भूत्यामृतमञ्जुते ॥ १४ ॥

(अ) सम्भूतिम् Non-becoming (prakṛti) च and বিনাহাম্ destruction (i.e. becoming or Hiraṇyagarbha) च also च: who तद् those उभयम् both सह together वेद knows; विनाहोन by (the worship of) Hiraṇyagarbha मृत्युम् death तीर्त्वो overcoming असम्भूत्या through (the devotion to) prakṛti अमृतम् deathlessness अञ्जते attains.

He who understands asambhūti¹ and vināśa² both together, attains immortality³ by devotion to asambhūti (non-becoming or prakṛti), having conquered death⁴ by the worship of vināśa (destruction or Hiranyagarbha).

[Notes—1: Asambhūti—In the text there is the word sambhūti in the 1st line and asambhūti in the 2nd. But Śankara says that in the 1st line sambhūti should be

taken as an apheresis of asambhūti, the initial 'a' being elided; and in the 2nd line he reads, 'Vināśena mṛtyum tīrtvā' śambhūtyā', where tīrtvā and asambhūtyā are combined euphonically. Therefore he holds that sambhūti, i.e. asambhūti, means non-becoming or the unmanifested prakṛti referred to in the previous verses.

- 2. Vināśa—This word means 'destruction', but here it should be taken as 'destructible', i.e. abstract used for the concrete. Whatever is caused, is destructible. So Hiranyagarbha, being the first manifestation of Brahman, is also destructible. Hence by the word vināśa, as by sambhūti (becoming in the preceding verses, Hiranyagarbha is meant. Through the worship of Hiranyagarbha, one can get great occult powers.
- 3. Immortality—Here it means absorption in prakṛti. By intense concentration on prakṛti, one remains absorbed in it till the end of a cycle, and at the beginning of the next cycle is born as the presiding deity of a certain sphere of existence.
- 4. Death—Limited powers of worldly life. The worship of Hiranyagarbha gives supernatural powers.

It is very difficult to make out the real meaning of verses 12, 13 and 14. What is given here is the view of Sankara, the drift of which is that the combined worship of prakrti and Hiranyagarbha takes one to the highest position attainable through work. The opposition between work and Knowledge is here taken for granted.

Among the other commentators, Uvatācārya gives a more plausible meaning of the 14th verse. He takes, sambhūti to mean Brahman, the cause of all creations and vīnāśa the body which is perishable. He explains the whole verse thus: The Yogin who knows both

Brahman and the (secret of) body attains immortality through the Knowledge of Brahman, having crossed death through works performed with the body, productive of jñāna.

Then again, if we do not accept the opposition between Knowledge and work, we may interpret the passage in the same way as we have done the 9th, 10th and 11th verses. We can take sambhūti and asambhūti in the last three verses to mean work (karma) and Knowledge (jūāna) respectively; and in the 14th verse sambhūti may be taken as an apheresis of asambhūti, meaning Knowledge, and vināśa as work, since all work is perishable.]

हिरण्मयेन पात्रेण सत्यस्यापिहितं मुखम् । तत् त्वं पूषत्रपावृणु सत्यधर्माय दृष्टये ॥ १५ ॥

हिरण्मयेन Golden पात्रेण with the plate (orb of the sun) सलस्य of the truth मुखम् entrance अपिहितम् (अस्ति) is covered. पूषन् O Sun (lit. the supporter) तत् that सल्यधर्माण for me who is devoted to the True दृष्ट्ये to the view लम् अपातृणु do Thou remove.

Like a lid, Thy shining golden orb covers the entrance¹ to the Truth in Thee. Remove it, O Sun, so that I who am devoted to the True may behold That.

[Notes—According to Sankara the Upanisad explains, from here onwards, by what path those who have been alevoted all their life to scripture-enjoined works and the

worship of lower Brahman, attain immortality after death. This and the succeeding verses form the prayer of such a devotee.

1. Entrance to the Truth—In the Upanisads (Vide Chandogya, III. 19. 4, VIII. 3. 3, IV. 15. 1; Brhad., II. 1. 2, IV. 3. 6. II. 3. 5; Kausītakī, IV. 3; Katha, VI. 9) one is advised to meditate upon Brahman as residing in the sun, the heart and the eyes, because special manifestations of Divine glory are associated with these regions. This particular verse is the dying prayer for illumination, of one who has been devoted all through life to the contemplation of the Deity as manifested in the sun. He wants to see the spiritual entity behind the shining orbot the material sun.]

पूषन्नेकर्षे यम सूर्य प्राजापत्य

व्यूह रश्मीन् समूह । तेजो यत् ते रूपं कल्याणतमं

तत् ते पश्यामि योऽसावसौ पुरुषः सोऽहमस्सि ॥ १६॥

पूषन् The supporter, एकवें the lonely courser, यम the controller, प्राजापस the son of Prajāpati, सूर्य O Sun रक्षीन् the rays व्यृह remove, तेज: light समृह withdraw. यत् which ते Thy कल्याणतमम् the most glorious, the most blessed रूपम् form तत् that ते (प्रसादात्) through Thy grace पत्र्यामि I behold. यः who असौ that असौ that पुरुष: Being सः He अहम् I अस्मि am.

O. Sun, offspring of prajapati, Thou lonely courser of the heaven, Thou controller and

supporter of all, contract Thy rays, withdraw Thy light. Through Thy grace, I behold the most blessed form of Thine. I am indeed He, that Being who dwells there.

वायुरनिलममृतमथेदं भसान्तं शरीरम् । ॐ क्रतो स्मर कृतं स्मर क्रतो स्मर कृतं स्मर ॥ १७ ॥

अथ Now वायु: the breath अनिलम् the (all-pervading) air अमृतम् the eternal (प्रतिपद्यताम् let attain). इदम् this शरीरम् body भस्मान्तम् reduced to ashes (भूयात् let be). ॐ Om ऋतो O mind स्मर remember ऋतम् deeds.

Now let my breath be merged in the allpervading immortal prāṇa, and the body be reduced to ashes. Om. Mind! remember¹, past deeds remember! Mind! remember, past deeds remember!

[Notes—This and the next verse form the prayer of the devotee of pious works at the time of death.

1. Remember etc.—The probable significance of the passage is this: As the course of the departing soul entirely depends upon the predominant thoughts of the dying moment, the devotee is, at the time of death, asking the mind to fill itself with the memories of all the good deeds of his life, so that he may take the higher passage referred to in the next verse. Cf. Bhagavad-gītā, VIII. 6.]

अग्ने नय सुपथा राये अस्मान् विश्वानि देव वयुनानि विद्वान् । युयोध्यस्मज्जुहुराणमेनो मूयिष्ठां ते नमउक्तिं विषेम ॥ १८ ॥

ॐ पूर्णमदः पूर्णमिदं पूर्णात् पूर्णमुदच्यते । पूर्णस्य पूर्णमादाय पूर्णमेवाविज्ञष्यते ॥

ओं शान्तिः । शान्तिः । शान्तिः ।

अभे O Agni असान् us राथे to the enjoyment of the fruits of our karma सुपथा by the fair path नय take, lead. देव O Lord, विश्वानि all व्युनानि deeds विद्वान् knowing अस्मत् from us जुहुराणम् crooked-going, deceitful एनः sin युयोधि destroy ते to Thee भूयिष्ठाम् very many नमजित्तम् words of salutations विधेस do we offer.

O Agni, lead us by the fair path that we may reap the good we have sown. Thou knowest all our deeds. Lord, destroy all crooked-going sin in us. We salute Thee with our words again and again.

Om Peace: Peace: Peace.

[Nores—1. Fair path—Reference is made here to the deva-yāna or 'the path of the gods'. In the Vedānta two paths are mentioned, by which the departed souls may

proceed to enjoy the fruits of karma done during their life on the earth. One is called deva-yana, 'the path of the gods ', or arcir-marga, ' the path of light ', and the other pitr-yana, 'the path of the manes', or dhuma-marga, 'the path of darkness'. The former leads to the plane of Brahmā, known as satya-loka, through the different spheres, such as the planes of Agni, the day, the bright half of the lunar months, i.e. 'sukla-paksa' the six months of the sun's passage to the northern solstice, the year, the devas, the vayu, the sun, the moon, the lightning, the region of Varuna, the region of Indra, and the region of Prajapati. The pitr-yana, on the other hand, leads to candra-loka or the region of the moon, through quite a different path consisting of spheres such as those of smoke or darkness, night, the six months of the sun's passage to the southern solstice, year, the pitr-loka, i.e. 'the world of manes,' and the other. One who goes by the deva-yana, has not to come back again to this world, but remains in the brahma-loka till the end of the cycle, when he attains complete absorption in Brahman with Brahmā. This process of emancipation is called kramamukti or gradual or indirect salvation in contradistinction to jīvan-mukti or direct salvation even while alive in the body, which is attained only by the realisation of the true nature of the Self. None but those who worship the Saguna-brahman (Brahman in Its qualified aspect). the naisthika-brahmacarin i.c. one who observes, lifelong vow of sexual purity, the vanaprastha, i.e. one who leads the retired life of worship and devotion in forest, and the grhastha or house-holder knowing the paffeagni-vidya (Chandogya, V. 3. 1), are eligible for the deva-yana. But all others who are of ordinary knowledge and actions, and do some charitable or good works in their lifetime, go, when they depart, to candra-loka by the other path; and there they remain for the enjoyment of the fruits of their good karma till its exhaustion. Then they come back again with some residue of their past karma, to be reborn on this earth to undergo all the pains and pleasures of life here. Cf. Bhagavad-gītā, VIII. 24 & 25.

So in the 18th verse, the devotee is ardently praying to Agni to take him by the deva-yāna that he may not have to come back again into this world of misery. Since Agni was considered the principal intermediary god for an agnihotrin (one who worships Agni)—for through Agni he offers all his oblations to other gods even—, therefore the devotee is praying to Agni with the assertion, "Thou knowest all our deeds, Lord."

2. With our words—The devotee wants to say that he is dying, and that therefore he has no strength left to do the whole worship. So he is offering salutations by word of mouth only.]

APPENDIX

THE study of the ancient religious literature of India reveals that from the Vedic times onwards there were two main currents of philosophic thought in this country. One insisted on the importance of rituals (karma) and the attainment of heavenly felicity through them, while the other minimised the value of these and emphasised the intuition of Brahman through Knowledge and ascetic practices as the goal of human life. The difference in these two philosophies affected the whole scheme of life advocated by the two groups expounding them, the first preferring an active life in society and the second a life of retirement and mystic absorption. The karma-kanda of the Vedas, comprising the Sanihitas and the Brahmanas, was the source of inspiration for the former, while the latter based their philosophy of life on the jñāna-kānda of the Vedas consisting of the Aranyakas and the Upanisads. It was, however, only in later times when these two typical doctrines in Vedic thought came to be systematised by Jaimini and Vyasa, that the distinction between them became well-defined. Henceforth they received the distinct names Mīmārisā and Vedānta, the Advaitins among the Vedantins holding that the latter system is in no way directly related to the former.

But it cannot be denied that even in the Vedic days the difference between these two schools of thought was felt in a sufficiently acute form. Consequently, as in later times, some of the best thinkers of the day must have felt the necessity of effecting a reconciliation between them. And most probably the Iśāvāsyopaniṣad is one

such striking attempt. According to literary history, the Śukla-yajur-veda or the Vājasaneyī-sainhitā, of which the present Upaniṣad is the 40th chapter, was comparatively of much later origin than the rest of the Vedic literature, and it seems all the more likely that the thinkers of this period should have felt all the more the need of reconciling the two streams of thought. Išāvāsyopaniṣad, according to some, is an early effort in this direction.

In the translation and the notes given in the body of the book, we have, followed Sankara's interpretation of the Upanisad. Now Sankara was one of the most prominent Vedantic thinkers of post-Vedic times, holding strong views about the relation between karma and iñana. According to him, ritualistic work and Brahman-intuition are contradictory like light and darkness; for karma is based upon the ego-sense and desires, and jñāna on their destruction. They cannot therefore be simultaneously combined. They can be reconciled only by allotting them to different stages of spiritual life. Karma of all kinds, he relegates to the pre-Knowledge stage. When performed with desire, karmas, whether ritualistic or altruistic, bestow on one merits that entitle one to certain transient enjoyments in this world and in heavenly regions. When performed without coveting the fruits for oneself and as an offering unto the Lord, the same karmas lead to the purification of the mind, which is the stage preparatory to spiritual enlightenment or Knowledge. After the mind is purified the aspirant has no concern with work of this kind even. He has only to listen to the Upanişadic teachings and absorb himself in meditation on them-a task for which he has attained the necessary capacity by means of the purification of mind achieved through disinterested karma. One who has not yet attained this state of mental purity must go on doing disinterested karma in a spirit of dedication to the Lord. He too will attain Knowledge gradually, but not immediately here on earth. By virtue of his actions and devotion he will go to the highest heaven, live there till the end of the cycle, and then attain Knowledge and complete emancipation. This way of attainment is called krama-mukti or gradual emancipation, as distinguished from the other kind known as jīvan-mukti or liberation. in this very embodied state. Thus according to Sankara karına can only precede jñāna, not co-exist with it. And in saving this, it is also fair to state that he excludes from the category of karma vidvat-karma or actions performed by the 'knowing ones' for the good of the world, . without any egoistic promptings.

It is from this point of view that Śankara interprets this difficult Upaniṣad, and no one can deny that he makes a very consistent meaning out of it. There are however, thinkers who do not see eye to eye with him in regard to the way in which he effects the reconciliation. They maintain that even though Śankara's theory is as perfect as a theory could possibly be, it is not without a loop-hole. If the study of the Upaniṣads and meditating on their meaning are not considered work, and therefore regarded as reconcilable with Knowledge, why not the same be done also with disinterested action performed in a spirit of devotion? The reply of a Śankarite would be that the study of the Upaniṣad and meditation, though apparently are forms of work, should not becalled work, because they lead directly to the destruction

of the ego which is the basis of karma. The others can very well retort that the same is the case with devotion and disinterested actions too. They also rule out the ego, and are therefore no more opposed to Knowledge, or a merely indirect means to it, than the study of the Upanisads and meditation on them. Hence to them Knowledge and work of the higher types are not contradictory, and can and ought to be practised simultaneously for the growth of a healthy spiritual life. According to the view of these thinkers, this Upanisad, like the Gītā in later times, is a plea for combining Knowledge with disinterested action, perception of the many with absorption in the One, and devotion to the Personal Deity with the intuition of the Absolute. The Īśāvāsyopanisad can very well be construed in this light too, but, in doing so, one has to give arbitrary meanings to certain expressions: the liberty taken in this respect is not in any way greater than what has to be taken for construing it as Sankara has done. The difficulty is largely due to the play upon words in the text. We therefore give below a free paraphrase of the Upanisad in this light changing the translation only where the new approach to the subject requires it:

WORK AND KNOWLEDGE RECONCILED

- (1) Whatever there is changeful in this ephemeral world—all that should be seen as pervaded by the Lord. Therefore enjoy the world after renouncing desire for these ephemeral things. Do not crave for possessions.
- (2) Only performing work in the world, should a man desire to live the full span of his life. If he lives as

mentioned before, renouncing desires and seeing the Lord in everything, he will not be subject to the natural consequences of work, namely, their good and bad fruits and rebirths resulting from them; for then works will not taint him as he is detached.

THE STATE OF THE UNSPIRITUAL.

(3) Those who do not recognise the Self in everythingpractically commit suicide. They are enshrouded in the blind darkness of ignorance, and are reborn in variousspheres of existence.

NATURE OF THE ĀTMAN AND THE STATE OF SELF-REALISATION

- (4) The Self is one. Unmoving, It is faster than themind. Having preceded the mind, It is beyond the reach of the senses. Ever steady, it outstrips all that run. By its mere presence, It enables the cosmic energy to sustain the activities of living beings.
- (5) It moves, and It moves not. It is far, and It is near. It is within all this, and It is also outsideall this.
- (6) The wise man who perceives all beings as not distinct from his own Self at all, and his own Self as the Self of every being,—he does not, by virtue of that perception, hate anyone.
- (7) What delusion, what sorrow is there for the wiseman who sees the unity of existence and perceives all beings as his own Self!

(8) He, the self-existent is everywhere—without a body, without muscles, and without the taint of sin; radiant, whole, and pure; seeing all, knowing all, and encompassing all. He duly assigned their respective duties to the eternal Prajāpatis.

RECONCILIATION OF CONTRADICTIONS: ONE AND THE MANY, THE ABSOLUTE AND THE PERSONAL

- (9) Those who are engrossed in 'ignorance' or the perception of the many enter into blind darkness. Into darkness that seems to be even greater than that, enter they who are absorbed in Knowledge or mystic consciousness of the One in trance; for in the former there is access to life and its possibilities while in the latter even this is apparently denied.¹
- (10) Thus one result, they say, is obtained by engrossment with the many (avidyā), and quite another by absorption in the One. Thus have we heard from the wise who explained it to us.
- (11) But he who understands the secret² of the many and has also absorption in the One simultaneously, attains the spiritual felicity of transcendence by absorption in the One (vidyā), having overcome the weakness³ and delusions of mortal life by knowing how the many are the becomings of the One (avidyā).
- In this and in the 14th verse absorption in the One and the Absolute is not really condemned. It only states the apparent or popular view. That is the force of 'iva', as it were, in the text.
- 2 Engrossment with the many without knowing the One behind it is the bane of 'ignorant' life. The secret of the many is that the One can appear to be many without losing Its unity.
- 3 On knowing the One, the many loses their binding influence on the seer.

- (12) Those who are engrossed with the worship of the born or a personal god¹ who is not recognised as an expression of the Absolute (asambhūti = vināśa of verse 14),—enter into blind darkness. Into darkness that seems even greater than that, enter they who are absorbed in the Unborn or the Absolute (sambhūti = sambhūti of verse 14); for in the former there is expression of life, while the latter apparently looks like inertness and negation of life.
- (13) Thus one result, they say, is obtained by worship of a Personal God (sambhūti), and quite another by absorption in the Absolute (asambhūti). Thus have we heard from the wise who explained it to us.
- (14) But he who understands the secret of the Personal Deity (i.e. knows Him to be the manifestation or Power of the Absolute) and has absorption in the Absolute simultaneously, attains the spiritual felicity of transcendence by absorption in the Absolute, having² conquered the travails of life by devotion to the Personal God.³
- ¹ This is the stage of polytheism and is, therefore, a very low stage. The Personal God of verse 14 is Isvara or Power of the Absolute. The Personal Deity can be called Born, because it comes from the Absolute and is absorbed in It.
 - 2 The true devotee is unmoved by the sufferings of life.
- 3 The superiority of the ideal held out in verses 11 and 14 reems to be that it combines knowledge and devotion, mysticism and work, philosophy and action, staticity and dynamism, in a harmontous way, and hence stands for an all-sided development of man, which a mere absorption in the One or the Absolute does not help.

KNOWLEDGE THROUGH NON-ATTACHMENT AND DEVOTION

- (15) Like a lid, Thy shining golden orb cover, the entrance to the Truth in Thee. Remove it, O Sur that I who am devoted to the True may behold That.
- (16) O Sun, offspring of Prajāpati, Thou lonely courser of the heaven, Thou controller and supporter all, contract Thy rays, withdraw Thy light. Through Thy grace, I behold the most blessed form of Thine. I am indeed He, that Being who dwells there.
- (17) Now let my breath be merged in the all-pervading, immortal prana, and the body be reduced to ashes. C Mind! remember, past deeds. Remember! Mind! remember, past deeds remember!
- (18) Oh Agni, lead us by the fair path that we marreap the good we have sown. Thou knowest all our deed Lord. Destroy all crooked-going sin in us. We salute Thee with our words again and again.

MYDERABAD.

END OF THE ISAVASYOPANISAD

1 Here Sun is identified with the Personal God.